



RFP No. 03-17-17-GMHSFS
George Mason High School Feasibility Study

Date: March 24, 2017

ADDENDUM #1

To: **ALL PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS**

THIS ADDENDUM MUST BE SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH YOUR BID.

**The final deadline for any questions concerning this Solicitation is
Friday, March 31, 2017 by 12:00 PM (Noon).**

Questions and Answers

- Q1. Is the existing high school auditorium remaining?
A1. No.
- Q2. Will food preparation and other functions be centrally located?
A2. Unknown at this time – please make that part of your proposal. The current food preparation model is decentralized with each school kitchen preparing fresh food
- Q3. Have there been Class 1 environmental Studies or soil borings?
A3. An environmental study has not been performed. Soil Borings associated with the middle school PPEA are available in the existing documents ftp site. The middle school site included an old dump site that ran through where the baseball field and tennis courts are located, so there are has concerns with that area.
- Q4. Was there arsenic testing?
A4. No.
- Q5. Is the location of the dump site why the middle school gym was built in the existing configuration?
A5. No – Gym was constructed as a basement to meet Fairfax County FAR requirements.
- Q6. Are you seeking a larger gym for competition activities?
A6. Yes – specs are in the RFP.
- Q7. Are you seeking a clean break between the school and economic development spaces?
A7. Yes – nothing will be shared.
- Q8. Is the idea to use the feasibility study for a PPEA?
A8. The feasibility study is intended to be used in the preparation of the PPEA RFP and referendum but will not restrict design solutions in the PPEA RFP.
- Q9. Will there be a PPEA RFP?
A9. Yes.
- Q10. Is the feasibility study to be part of the economic RFP?
A10. No, the feasibility study will be done by that time.
- Q11. Where are the students during construction?
A11. In the building – there isn't space for a trailer city.
- Q12. Is the UVA/VT parking lot owned by the City?
A12. Yes, there is a lease with an option to buy, perhaps in about five years.

Q13. Can the bus spaces be relocated?

A13. Yes, include bus space in your proposal, including location. The number of necessary slots is specified in the RFP.

Q14. Will there be a transportation analysis?

A14. Not in the feasibility study.

Q15. Will there be a bus maintenance site?

A15. Bus maintenance is done by the City's motor pool.

Q16. Are you seeking turf fields?

A16. Yes, the current football field is turf. The new practice field should also be turf, as stated in the RFP, along with specifications.

Q17. Are offerors to consider geothermal options?

A17. No. That level of detail is not intended in the feasibility study.

Q18. What are the buffer expectations?

A18. That will be addressed in the economic working group RFP. The feasibility study should be concerned with the location of the new school and what is compatible as the two studies combine.

Q19. When will construction begin?

A19. March 2019, to be completed by 2021.

Q20. For the cost proposals, may we submit different cost proposals for the two scenarios?

A20. Yes, but be certain to identify which cost proposal supports which scenario, as the cost proposals will be submitted separately in sealed envelopes. You may also submit just one cost proposal that supports both scenario proposals.

Q21. Are there assumptions about traffic/parking/flow?

A21. The feasibility study should include such assumptions. The City will have information on this but not before the feasibility study is completed.

Q22. Where is the City mulch facility that is mentioned in the RFP?

A22. In the corner of the property, but the City will relocate it before construction begins.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED AND IN FULL FORCE & EFFECT.

Contractor must take due notice and be governed accordingly. This Addendum is considered a part of the above referenced solicitation.

Acknowledged by:

Name of Firm

Authorized Signature

Date